

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,367	07/14/2003	William J. Stegmeier	0497-03UA	6810	
21704	7590 07/13/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LAW OFFICES OF ERIC KARICH 2807 ST. MARK DR.			SAFAVI, MICHAEL		
	D, TX 76063		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3673		
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

¥ Qs		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/61	9,367	STEGMEIER, WILL	₋IAM J.			
	Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit				
		M. Sa		3673				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on	the cover sheet	vith the correspondence add	Iress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN resions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come reperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (0 restor period for reply is specified above, the maximum is rere to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nomunication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply ary will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a statutory minimum of th nd will expire SIX (6) MC application to become	a reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this cor ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>14 July 2003</i>	} .					
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)								
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>December 0</u> . Applicant may not request that any objected that any objected the oath or declaration is objected the specific specific and the specific spec	8, 2003 is/are: a) cection to the drawing (g the correction is rec	s) be held in abeya quired if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)[_ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have to documents have to of the priority docu onal Bureau (PCT f	peen received. been received in aments have bee Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s) ee of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🗀 Intonio	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	te of References Cited (P10-692) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of tr No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/14/03</u> .	PTO-948) PTO/SB/08)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/619,367

Art Unit: 3673

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 19, line 2, "the barb" lacks antecedent basis within the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5, 7, 10, 11, 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Methot. Methot discloses, Figs. 2-4, a joint cover system comprising a polyvinyl cover strip 15 having an attachment rib 41/42, a receiver strip 20 comprising a substantially hollow body with a slit 30 for receiving the

Application/Control Number: 10/619,367

Art Unit: 3673

attachment rib, an anchor rib 19/20/21. Barb of attachment rib is at 41. Groove on an interior surface for receiving the barb is at 25. The joint cover system covers a joint between a first body 17 and a second body, (pool wall or "bond beam").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 8, 9, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Methot. Methot teaches formation of the receiver strip 20 can be aluminum or plastic, col. 3, lines 1-2 and col. 4, lines 28-29. Methot also recognizes that it is known for receiver strips to be formed of aluminum or polyvinyl chloride, col. 2, lines 43-44. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have formed the Methot receiver strip 20 of a polyvinyl chloride. Providing for multiple cover strips 15 around the pool structure, thus providing ease of handling, would have constituted a further obvious expedient in the art. With such multiple cover strips the Methot assembly would present at least two cover strips 15 or at least a "cover strip" and a "protective strip".

Art Unit: 3673

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Methot in view of either of Kelly or Osada.

Each of Kelly and Osada teach forming an interior surface of a pool wall of small stone or pebble finish. To have formed the pool wall of Methot as a pebble finish layer, thus providing a natural and aesthetically pleasing appearance, would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as taught by either of Kelly and Osada.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Safavi whose telephone number is (703) 308-2481. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur., 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

MICHAEL SAFAVI PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 354

M. Safavi July 01, 2004